

СИБИРСКИЕ ЭЛЕКТРОННЫЕ
МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИЕ ИЗВЕСТИЯ

Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports

<http://semr.math.nsc.ru>

Том 6, стр. 522–525 (2009)

УДК 514.8,515.12

Краткие сообщения

MSC 28A80

ON THE LENGTH OF THE SET OF EXTREME POINTS FOR
SELF-SIMILAR SETS IN \mathbb{R}^2 .

A.V. TETENOV

ABSTRACT. We prove that the set of extreme points of the convex hull of any self-similar set in \mathbb{R}^2 has zero 1-dimensional Lebesgue measure.

Keywords: self-similar sets, fractal, convex hull, extreme points, Hausdorff measure.

1. INTRODUCTION

The interplay between the concepts of self-similarity and convexity is a promising and still unexplored field in the theory of self-similar fractals. This sharply differs from the situation in the theory of Kleinian groups, where the study of convex hulls of the limit sets (which are self-conformal fractals) for a long time serves as one of the main research tools in the theory.

The first attempt to study the convex hulls of self-similar sets was made in 1993 by P. Panzone [2] who found the sufficient conditions for the self-similar set in \mathbb{R}^n to have a finite polyhedral convex hull. In 1999, R. Strichartz and Y. Wang [3] obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for the finiteness of the convex hull for self-affine tiles in \mathbb{R}^n . In 2006 M. Ferrari [1] considered the properties of the boundary points of the convex hulls of self-similar sets in \mathbb{R}^3 . The use of the convex hulls and polyconvex prefractals was one of the main tools to investigate curvature of self-similar and random self-similar sets in the recent works of S. Winter and M. Zahle [7, 8].

TETENOV, A.V., ON THE LENGTH OF THE SET OF EXTREME POINTS FOR SELF-SIMILAR SETS IN \mathbb{R}^2 .

© 2009 TETENOV, A.V.

The work is supported by RFFI (grants 09-01-90202 and 09-01-98001) and ADTP (grant 2.1.1/3707).

Communicated by A.D. Mednykh December, 11, 2009, published December, 12, 2009.

It was shown by the author in 2002 [4, 5] that for the self-similar sets in \mathbb{R}^2 satisfying the open convex set condition, the set of the extreme points for a self-similar sets has zero Hausdorff dimension. In this paper we show that without any assumptions for a self-similar set in the plane the set F of the extreme points of it's convex hull has zero 1-dimensional Hausdorff measure and therefore the set F is a nowhere dense and totally disconnected compact subset of the boundary of the convex hull of the self-similar set.

Definitions. Let \mathcal{S} be a system $\{S_1, \dots, S_m\}$ of injective contraction similarities in \mathbb{R}^2 to itself and let K be it's *invariant set*, that is a non-empty compact set K satisfying $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^m S_i(K)$. The set K is also called the *attractor of the system* \mathcal{S} . Denote by G the semigroup of similarities generated by the system \mathcal{S} .

Consider *the convex hull* of the invariant set K , which we will denote by \tilde{K} . Let F be *the set of all extreme points* of \tilde{K} . Since \tilde{K} is the convex hull of F , the set F is contained in \tilde{K} .

Since the set \tilde{K} is a compact convex set in the plane, it's boundary $\partial\tilde{K}$ is a bounded closed rectifiable curve and it's length is finite, therefore the one-dimensional Hausdorff measure of the set F is also finite.

The aim of this article is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let \mathcal{S} be a system $\{S_1, \dots, S_m\}$ of injective contraction similarities in \mathbb{R}^2 with invariant set K and F be the set of extreme points of the convex hull \tilde{K} of K . Then the one-dimensional Hausdorff measure of F is zero.*

2. THE DYNAMICS OF THE SET OF EXTREME POINTS.

We recall some main properties of the set F of extreme points of a self-similar set K , which were proved in [4, 5, 6].

1. Let $z_0 \in F$ be the extreme point of \tilde{K} . If for some $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$, $z_0 \in S_i(K)$, then z_0 is the extreme point of $S_i(K)$. Therefore $z_1 = S_i^{-1}(z_0)$ is also the extreme point of \tilde{K} . For each $z_0 \in F$, there is at least one such z_1 , and we call it *a predecessor* of z_0 . By inductive reasoning we obtain an infinite sequence of extreme points $\{z_0, z_1, z_2, \dots\}$, where z_{i+1} is the predecessor of z_i for each natural i .

2. An extreme point z_0 is called *a corner point* if the angle $\beta(z_0)$ between the right tangent ray to \tilde{K} at the point z_0 and the left one is less than π . It was proved in [4, 6] that for any corner point z_0 , $\beta(z_1) < \beta(z_0)$ and the number of different points in the sequence of predecessors for the corner point z_0 is finite. This implies that each corner point is isolated in F and the set F_c of all corner points is at most countable. The set $G(F_c)$ of all images of corner points under the transformations of the semigroup G is also countable.

3. An extreme point z is called *an edge endpoint*, if there is such extreme point z' that the whole line segment $[z, z']$ is contained in the boundary $\partial\tilde{K}$ of the convex hull \tilde{K} . The segment $[z, z']$ will be called *the edge* of \tilde{K} . Two extreme points $z, z' \in F$ are the endpoints of the same edge if and only if there is a normal vector to the boundary $\partial\tilde{K}$ common for the points z and z' .

Since $\partial\tilde{K}$ has finite length, the set of all edges of \tilde{K} is at most countable, and so is the set F_e of all edge endpoints. Let z be an edge endpoint and for some i the image $S_i(z)$ is an extreme point, then $S_i(z)$ is also the edge endpoint.

The following steps would prepare us to the proof of the Theorem 1.

4. Define $A = F \setminus (F_e \cup G(F_c))$. Since the set $(F_e \cup G(F_c))$ is at most countable, for each positive λ it's Hausdorff measure $H^\lambda(F_e \cup G(F_c))$ is zero, so it's enough to prove the conclusion of the Theorem 1 for the set A . Since F is compact, the set A is a Borel set.

Let $A_k = S_k(A) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} S_i(A)$. The family of subsets $A_k, k = 1, \dots, m$, is a partition of the set A to the Borel sets. For any $z \in A_k$, the point $S_k^{-1}(z) \in A$ is it's predecessor, and the map $S_k^{-1} : A_k \rightarrow A$ is an expanding similarity with the ratio q_i^{-1} , where $q_i = \text{Lip}(S_i)$. Define the mapping $\psi : A \rightarrow A$ by the equality $\psi|_{A_k} = S_k^{-1}$.

5. Each point $z_0 \in A$ is not a corner point, so there is an unique outward unit normal vector $\nu(z_0)$, defining the map $\nu : A \rightarrow S^1$. Since A does not contain edge endpoints, for any two different points z, z' in A , $\nu(z) \neq \nu(z')$. Thus, the mapping $\nu : A \rightarrow S^1$ is injective.

Take a point $z \in A_k$. The angle between $\nu(z)$ and $\nu(S_k^{-1}(z))$ is $-\alpha_k$, where α_k is the angle of rotation of the similarity S_k . Let r_k be the rotation in the angle $-\alpha_k$. Then the mapping ψ satisfies the equation $\nu \cdot \psi|_{A_k} = r_k \cdot \nu|_{A_k}$ for each k .

The main step in the proof of the Theorem 1 is the following

Lemma 2. *Let A be a Borel set in R^d and let $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ be it's partition into disjoint Borel subsets. Let $\psi : A \rightarrow A$ be such a map that for each i , the restriction $\psi_i = \psi|_{A_i}$ is an expanding similarity. Suppose there exist such an injective mapping $\nu : A \rightarrow S^1$ that for all $i = 1, \dots, m$ and all $x \in A_i$, $\nu \cdot \psi(x) = r_i \cdot \nu(x)$, where r_i is a rotation of S^1 by angle α_i .*

Then for any $\lambda > 0$ the Hausdorff measure $H^\lambda(F)$ is either 0 or ∞ .

Proof. Let $q_i = \text{Lip}(\psi_i)^{-1}$ and $q = \min(q_1, \dots, q_m)$.

Set $A_{i_1, \dots, i_{k+1}} = \psi_{i_1}^{-1} \dots \psi_{i_k}^{-1} (\psi_{i_{k+1}}(A_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \cap A_{i_{k+1}}))$.

For each given k the family $\{A_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{i} = i_1, \dots, i_k \in I^k\}$ is a partition of the set A into disjoint Borel subsets.

Denote by $A(k, m)$ the set of all unordered arrays of the length k consisting of numbers $\{1, \dots, m\}$. For each array $j \in A(k, m)$ we denote by $B(j)$ the set of all ordered arrays of length k , consisting of the elements of the array j .

Let $W_j^k = \bigcup_{\mathbf{i} \in B(j)} A_{\mathbf{i}}$.

For each k the sets W_j^k form a partition of the set A .

Each of the sets W_j^k satisfies the condition:

$$\text{for each } x \in W_j^k, \quad \nu \cdot \psi^k(x) = r_{\alpha(j)} \cdot \nu(x),$$

where $r_{\alpha(j)}$ — is a rotation by angle $\alpha(j) = \alpha_{i_1} + \dots + \alpha_{i_k}$, where the indices are given by $i_1 \dots i_k = \mathbf{i} \in B(j)$. This last sum does not depend from the order in which the indices i_k are taken but only of the array j and therefore is the same for all points $x \in W_j^k$. So for each $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}' \in B(j)$, $\psi^k(U_{\mathbf{i}}) \cap \psi^k(U_{\mathbf{i}'}) = \emptyset$.

Thus, the set $\psi^k(W_j^k) \subset A$ is a finite union of disjoint subsets $\psi^k(A_{\mathbf{i}})$, therefore it's Hausdorff λ -measure H^λ satisfies the inequality

$$H^\lambda(\psi^k(W_j^k)) \leq H^\lambda(F).$$

Since for any set $A_i, i \in B(j)$, the restriction of ψ^k to the set A_i is an expanding similarity whose ratio does not exceed q^{-k} ,

$$H^\lambda(W_j^k) \leq q^{k\lambda} H^\lambda(\psi^k(W_j^k)) \leq q^{k\lambda} H^\lambda(A).$$

From the other side, the sets W_j^k partition of the set A , therefore

$$\sum_{j \in A(k,m)} H^\lambda(W_j^k) = H^\lambda(A).$$

As a result one obtains the inequality

$$H^\lambda(A) \leq q^{k\lambda} H^\lambda(A) \cdot \#A(k, m).$$

Since the set $A(k, m)$ consists of $\frac{(m+k-1)!}{(k-1)!m!}$ elements, and this number is no greater than k^m , for any k we obtain

$$H^\lambda(A) \leq k^m q^{k\lambda} H^\lambda(A).$$

This is possible only if $H^\lambda(A)$ is either equal to 0 or is infinite. ■

The proof of the Theorem 1 is finished by the observation that 1-dimensional Hausdorff measure of $\partial\tilde{K}$, and therefore of the set A is finite. Then by the Lemma 2, $H^1(A) = 0$. Therefore $H^1(F)$ is also zero. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] Ferrari M., *The convex hull of self-similar sets in \mathbb{R}^3* , *Fractals*, **15**: 2 (2007), 1–10.
- [2] Panzone P. A., *A note on the convex hulls of self-similar sets*, *Actas del 2 congreso " Dr. A.A. Monteiro" Inst. de Matematica de Bahia Blanca*, 1993, 57–64.
- [3] R. Strichartz and Y. Wang, *Geometry of self-affine tiles I.*, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 48 (1999), 1–24.
- [4] Тетенов А. В., *Хаусдорфова размерность множества крайних точек самоподобных множеств на плоскости*, *Динамика сплошной среды*, Сб. науч. тр., Сиб. отд. РАН, Ин-т гидродинамики., Вып. 120 (2002), 53–59.
- [5] Tetenov A. V., Davydkin I. B., *Hausdorff dimension of the set of extreme points of a self-similar set*, www.arXiv.org/math.MG/0202215.
- [6] Тетенов А. В., Давыдкин И. Б., *О выпуклых оболочках самоподобных множеств*, *Вестник новосибирского государственного университета Серия "Математика, механика, информатика"*, **V**: 2 (2005), 21–27.
- [7] Winter S., *Curvature measures and fractals*, *Diss. Math.* 453, (2008), 66 pp.
- [8] Zahle M., *Curvature densities of self-similar sets*, *Workshop on Fractals and Tilings 2009 July 6–10*, Strobl, Austria.

ANDREY V. TETENOV,
 GORNO-ALTAISK STATE UNIVERSITY,
 LENKIN STR.,1, 649000, GORNO-ALTAISK, RUSSIA
E-mail address: atet@mail.ru